

Biodiversity, Human Health and Forest Finance: An Integrated Policy Framework for Sustainable Development

Anant Kumar Garg*

* Research Scholar, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, INDIA

Abstract: Plant biodiversity plays a crucial role in sustaining ecosystem services, human health, and economic development. Forest ecosystems rich in plant diversity provide essential ecological services such as medicinal resources, climate regulation, nutrient cycling, and water conservation. These services support not only environmental sustainability but also human welfare and biodiversity-based economic activities.

This study examines the relationship between plant biodiversity, forest resources, human development indicators, and sustainable development goals using a conceptual and secondary-data-based approach. The analysis highlights the growing pressure on biodiversity due to population growth, declining forest availability per person, and increasing numbers of threatened species. At the same time, forest ecosystems contribute significantly to economic activities such as medicinal plant trade, non-timber forest products, eco-tourism, and ecosystem services that support rural livelihoods.

The findings emphasize that biodiversity should be recognized as natural capital within economic planning and development policies. Integrating biodiversity conservation with economic strategies and sustainable forest management can enhance ecological resilience, human well-being, and long-term development outcomes. The study also demonstrates the strong alignment between biodiversity conservation and the Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those related to health, economic growth, climate action, and ecosystem protection. Overall, the paper highlights the importance of adopting an integrated policy framework that links biodiversity conservation with sustainable economic development and human welfare.

Keywords: Plant biodiversity, Human health, Forest finance, Natural capital, Sustainable development, SDGs.

Introduction - Plant biodiversity constitutes one of the most fundamental components of ecological stability and human well-being. Forest ecosystems rich in plant diversity provide a wide range of ecosystem services including medicinal resources, nutritional diversity, climate regulation, soil fertility, and water purification. These ecological services support not only environmental sustainability but also economic systems and human livelihoods. Studies on ecosystem services have emphasized that natural ecosystems generate immense economic value for human societies and should therefore be considered as forms of natural capital rather than merely environmental assets (Costanza et al., 1997; Daily, 1997). In recent decades, increasing deforestation, habitat fragmentation, and unsustainable resource use have accelerated biodiversity loss across the world. Global biodiversity assessments indicate that ecosystem degradation is occurring at an unprecedented rate, threatening ecological balance and long-term sustainability (IPBES, 2019). Forest ecosystems are particularly important because they host a significant proportion of global plant

diversity and provide critical ecological and economic benefits to millions of people (FAO, 2020).

Beyond ecological functions, plant biodiversity has profound implications for human health and well-being. Forest plants serve as sources of traditional medicines, pharmaceutical compounds, and nutritionally diverse foods. Scientific studies have shown that ecosystem degradation and biodiversity loss can directly influence human health through impacts on nutrition, disease dynamics, and environmental quality (Myers et al., 2013; Whitmee et al., 2015). These linkages highlight the importance of conserving biodiversity not only for environmental protection but also for public health and social welfare. Recent economic studies also highlight that forest-based plant biodiversity plays an important role in supporting human health through medicinal resources and traditional knowledge systems. Such studies emphasize that biodiversity loss may reduce the availability of medicinal plants and weaken ecosystem services that contribute to human well-being (Garg, 2025). At the same time, recent

developments in ecological economics have emphasized the need to recognize biodiversity and ecosystem services as valuable economic assets. The concept of natural capital highlights the role of ecological systems in supporting economic productivity and long-term development (Dasgupta, 2021). Forest biodiversity contributes to rural livelihoods through non-timber forest products, medicinal plant markets, eco-tourism, and other biodiversity-based economic activities.

Recognizing these interconnections, the global development agenda has increasingly emphasized the integration of environmental conservation with economic development and human welfare. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) highlight biodiversity conservation as a central component of sustainable development, particularly through goals related to human health, economic growth, responsible production, climate action, and ecosystem protection (United Nations, 2015; Sachs, 2015). Recent discussions in sustainability research have increasingly emphasized the importance of integrating ecological resources with economic development frameworks. Forest ecosystems represent a critical component of natural capital that supports environmental stability, human livelihoods, and economic productivity. In many developing countries, biodiversity-rich forests provide essential ecosystem services including medicinal resources, traditional healthcare support, food diversity, and climate regulation. However, rapid population growth and increasing demand for natural resources have intensified pressure on biodiversity and forest ecosystems. These challenges highlight the need for interdisciplinary approaches that combine ecological conservation with economic policy and sustainable development strategies.

Within this broader policy context, plant biodiversity can be viewed not only as an ecological resource but also as a strategic economic and social asset. Integrating biodiversity conservation into economic policy and development planning is therefore essential for ensuring environmental sustainability, improving human well-being, and achieving long-term development goals. This study explores the linkages between plant biodiversity, human health, and forest-based economic systems from a policy perspective, with particular attention to their relevance for sustainable development. This study adopts a commerce-oriented perspective to examine biodiversity conservation within the broader framework of sustainable development.

Review of Literature : Subsequent research further developed the concept of biodiversity as natural capital. The global initiative on the economics of ecosystems and biodiversity emphasized the importance of integrating biodiversity valuation into economic decision-making and policy frameworks (TEEB, 2010). Scholars have also argued that conserving biodiversity is economically rational because the long-term benefits of ecosystem services significantly outweigh the costs of conservation (Balmford

et al., 2002). In recent years, economic analyses have increasingly highlighted the role of ecosystem services in supporting agricultural productivity, climate regulation, and sustainable resource management (Dasgupta, 2021).

Recent global biodiversity assessments have highlighted the alarming rate of species extinction and ecosystem degradation. Research indicates that current extinction rates are substantially higher than natural background levels, reflecting the growing pressures of human activities on ecological systems (Pimm et al., 2014). Global reports further emphasize that biodiversity loss threatens ecological stability and undermines the sustainability of economic systems dependent on natural resources (IPBES, 2019). These findings underline the urgent need for policies that integrate biodiversity conservation with sustainable development strategies. Another important strand of literature has examined the link between biodiversity and human health. Ecosystem degradation can influence disease transmission, nutrition, and environmental quality, thereby affecting human well-being. Studies on planetary health emphasize that safeguarding biodiversity is essential for maintaining stable environmental conditions that support human health and societal resilience (Myers et al., 2013; Whitmee et al., 2015). In addition, global health research suggests that biodiversity loss may increase the risk of emerging infectious diseases and reduce the availability of medicinal resources derived from natural ecosystems (WHO, 2005).

In the context of economic development, scholars have increasingly highlighted the need to incorporate ecological considerations into economic policy and accounting systems. The concept of natural capital accounting emphasizes that biodiversity and ecosystems should be recognized as productive assets contributing to national wealth and sustainable development (Dasgupta, 2021; World Bank, 2018). The United Nations System of Environmental-Economic Accounting further provides an institutional framework for integrating ecosystem services into national accounting systems (United Nations, 2014). The relationship between biodiversity, ecosystem services, and human welfare has been widely discussed in ecological and economic research. Early contributions in ecological economics emphasized that natural ecosystems generate a wide range of services essential for human survival and economic productivity. Studies on ecosystem services demonstrated that forests, wetlands, and other ecosystems provide benefits such as climate regulation, nutrient cycling, and pollination that support agriculture and economic systems (Costanza et al., 1997; Daily, 1997). These pioneering works laid the foundation for understanding biodiversity not merely as an environmental resource but as an essential component of socio-economic development. Whitmee et al. (2015) argue that human health is closely linked to the stability of natural ecosystems.

Furthermore, recent sustainability scholarship has

emphasized the importance of integrating biodiversity conservation within global development agendas. The Sustainable Development Goals highlight biodiversity protection, ecosystem restoration, and sustainable resource management as essential components of long-term economic and social development (United Nations, 2015; Sachs, 2015). These global policy frameworks emphasize that biodiversity conservation is closely linked with human welfare, economic stability, and climate resilience. Despite these contributions, many studies continue to examine biodiversity either from ecological or economic perspectives separately. Limited research has integrated plant biodiversity, human health, and forest-based economic systems within a policy-oriented framework aligned with sustainable development goals. Addressing this gap is essential for developing interdisciplinary approaches that link biodiversity conservation with economic development and human welfare. Recent research in the Indian context has also emphasized the economic value of forest-based medicinal biodiversity and its relationship with human health. Such studies argue that biodiversity-based ecosystem services remain under-recognized in economic planning despite their potential contribution to healthcare systems and sustainable livelihoods (Garg, 2025).

Research Gap : Existing literature has extensively examined biodiversity conservation from ecological, environmental, and economic perspectives. Studies on ecosystem services and natural capital have highlighted the economic value of biodiversity and its role in supporting ecological stability and sustainable development. Similarly, research on planetary health has emphasized the link between biodiversity conservation and human well-being. However, much of the existing literature treats biodiversity conservation, human health, and economic development as separate domains. Relatively limited research has integrated plant biodiversity, human health, and forest-based economic systems within a unified policy framework aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

In particular, there remains a gap in understanding how plant biodiversity can be conceptualized as a form of natural capital that simultaneously supports public health, rural livelihoods, and sustainable economic development. Addressing this gap requires an interdisciplinary approach that connects ecological resources, economic valuation, and policy frameworks for sustainable development. In order to address the identified research gap and examine the relationship between biodiversity, human welfare, and sustainable development, the present study pursues the following research objectives.

Research Objectives : The present study aims to examine the ecological, economic, and policy dimensions of plant biodiversity within the broader framework of sustainable development. The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

1. To examine the ecological significance of plant biodiversity and its role in providing ecosystem services

that support human health and environmental sustainability.

2. To analyze the relationship between forest ecosystems and biodiversity-based economic activities such as medicinal plant resources, non-timber forest products, and eco-tourism.
3. To evaluate the influence of population growth on forest resource availability and biodiversity pressure over time.
4. To explore the policy relevance of biodiversity conservation in achieving sustainable development goals and promoting environmentally sustainable economic development.

Methodology : This study adopts a **conceptual and policy-oriented research approach** to examine the interconnections between plant biodiversity, human health, and forest-based economic systems. The research is primarily based on secondary data and documentary analysis, drawing from international reports, policy documents, and scholarly literature on biodiversity conservation, ecological economics, and sustainable development. The study reviews global biodiversity assessments, forest resource reports, and research on ecosystem services and natural capital to analyze the ecological and economic significance of plant biodiversity. In addition, literature on planetary health and environmental sustainability is examined to understand the relationship between biodiversity and human well-being. The analytical approach of the study is **interdisciplinary**, integrating perspectives from ecology, economics, and public policy. By synthesizing existing knowledge from these fields, the research seeks to develop a policy-oriented framework that highlights the role of plant biodiversity in supporting sustainable development.

Results and Analysis : This section presents the empirical and conceptual results of the study by examining the relationship between biodiversity, forest resources, human development indicators, and sustainable development goals. The results are presented through a series of tables that highlight the ecological, economic, and policy dimensions of plant biodiversity and forest ecosystems.

Table 1 : Biodiversity Pressure and Forest Availability in India (2013–2023).

Year	Human Population (Million)	Forest Area (Million ha)	Forest Area per Person (ha/person)	Threatened Species (CR+EN +VU)
2013	1252	70.1	0.056	1800
2015	1295	70.4	0.054	1880
2017	1339	71.0	0.053	1950
2019	1366	71.3	0.052	2030
2021	1393	71.7	0.051	2100
2023	1417	72.0	0.050	2163

Source: estimates based on Forest Survey of India reports, IUCN Red List, and global population statistics.

Interpretation: Table 1 presents the relationship between

human population growth, forest resource availability, and biodiversity pressure over the last decade. While total forest area has shown a slight increase, rapid population growth has resulted in a gradual decline in forest area available per person. The declining forest availability per capita indicates increasing pressure on ecological resources that support biodiversity and ecosystem services. At the same time, the rising number of threatened species suggests that biodiversity loss continues despite improvements in forest cover statistics. This highlights the importance of considering both quantitative forest area and qualitative biodiversity indicators in environmental policy and sustainable development planning.

Table 2 : Human Development and Forest Resource Indicators

Year	Human Development Index (HDI)	Forest Area per Person (ha/person)	Development Pressure Indicator
2013	0.586	0.056	Moderate
2015	0.609	0.054	Increasing
2017	0.633	0.053	Increasing
2019	0.645	0.052	High
2021	0.633	0.051	High
2023	0.644	0.050	High

Source: UNDP Human Development Reports and forest resource statistics.

Interpretation: Table 2 highlights the relationship between human development indicators and ecological resources. Although India has shown gradual improvements in human development over the past decade, forest resources available per person have steadily declined. This pattern reflects the growing challenge of balancing development and ecological sustainability. As human development improves through economic growth and population expansion, the pressure on forest ecosystems increases. The results suggest that long-term development strategies must integrate biodiversity conservation and sustainable forest management in order to maintain ecological balance and human well-being.

Table 3 : Economic Value of Forest Ecosystem Services

	Economic Contribution	Development Relevance
Carbon sequestration	Carbon markets and climate regulation	Climate mitigation and green finance
Medicinal plant resources	Herbal and pharmaceutical industries	Health security and biodiversity trade
Non-timber forest products	Rural income generation	Livelihood support
Watershed protection	Agricultural productivity	Water security
Eco-tourism	Tourism revenue and employment	Local economic development

Source: Ecosystem valuation literature and biodiversity economic studies.

Interpretation: Table 3 demonstrates the economic value

generated by forest ecosystem services. Forest biodiversity provides multiple services that support both environmental sustainability and economic development. Medicinal plants contribute to pharmaceutical industries, while non-timber forest products support rural livelihoods and forest-dependent communities. In addition, forest ecosystems regulate climate and water systems, which are essential for agricultural productivity and long-term environmental stability. These findings emphasize that biodiversity conservation should be viewed not only as an environmental priority but also as an economic investment.

Table 4: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Development Goals (SDG Alignment)

SDG Goal	Goal Title	Relevance to Biodiversity & Forest Ecosystems
SDG 3	Good Health and Well-being	Medicinal plants and ecosystem services support human health
SDG 8	Decent Work and Economic Growth	Biodiversity-based industries and eco-tourism create livelihoods
SDG 12	Responsible Consumption and Production	Sustainable use of forest resources promotes ecological balance
SDG 13	Climate Action	Forest ecosystems store carbon and regulate climate
SDG 15	Life on Land	Biodiversity conservation and ecosystem restoration

Source: United Nations Sustainable Development Goals framework.

Interpretation: Table 4 illustrates the alignment between biodiversity conservation and the global sustainable development agenda. Forest ecosystems contribute directly to several SDGs by supporting human health, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. The table demonstrates that biodiversity conservation is not limited to environmental protection but plays a crucial role in achieving broader development goals. Integrating biodiversity conservation with sustainable development policies therefore provides a comprehensive framework for addressing ecological, economic, and social challenges.

Key Result Insight: The overall results suggest that biodiversity conservation, forest resource management, and human development are closely interconnected. While improvements in development indicators are important for economic progress, declining forest availability per person and increasing biodiversity pressure highlight the need for stronger conservation strategies. Recognizing biodiversity as natural capital and integrating ecosystem services into economic planning can help balance ecological sustainability with human development objectives.

Data Interpretation and Discussion: The results presented in Tables 1–4 highlight the complex relationship between biodiversity conservation, human development, and sustainable economic growth. The findings indicate that

although forest cover has shown slight improvement in recent years, the increasing population has reduced the availability of forest resources per person. This trend reflects growing ecological pressure on natural ecosystems. The increasing number of threatened species further indicates that biodiversity loss remains a significant challenge despite improvements in forest monitoring and conservation policies. Biodiversity loss can lead to irreversible ecological damage because extinct species cannot be recovered. Therefore, biodiversity conservation requires long-term strategies that go beyond increasing forest area and focus on maintaining ecological quality and species diversity.

The results also demonstrate that forest ecosystems generate multiple economic and social benefits. Ecosystem services such as medicinal plants, non-timber forest products, carbon sequestration, and eco-tourism contribute to rural livelihoods and green economic activities. These services illustrate that biodiversity conservation has both ecological and economic significance. This SDG-oriented policy framework highlights the importance of integrating biodiversity conservation with economic policy and human welfare strategies. By linking ecological resources with sustainable development objectives, the framework provides a basis for analyzing the role of plant biodiversity in achieving long-term environmental and economic sustainability. The relationship between biodiversity conservation, human welfare, and economic development has been recognized within the framework of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Plant biodiversity and forest ecosystems contribute directly to several SDGs through their ecological, economic, and social functions. Furthermore, the alignment of biodiversity conservation with the Sustainable Development Goals highlights the global relevance of biodiversity protection. Forest ecosystems support human health, economic growth, climate stability, and ecological sustainability. Therefore, biodiversity conservation should be integrated into economic planning and development policies.

Overall, the analysis indicates that biodiversity conservation, human welfare, and sustainable economic development are closely interconnected and must be addressed through integrated policy frameworks. The findings also highlight the importance of recognizing biodiversity as a strategic resource within development planning. Traditional economic models often underestimate the value of ecological resources because many ecosystem services are not directly reflected in market prices. However, biodiversity-based services such as pollination, water regulation, medicinal plant resources, and climate stabilization contribute significantly to long-term economic sustainability. Recognizing these services within policy frameworks can help shift development strategies from resource exploitation toward sustainable resource management. In this context, integrating biodiversity conservation with economic policy and sustainable

development planning becomes essential for ensuring both environmental stability and human well-being.

Key Findings: The study identifies several important findings regarding the relationship between biodiversity, forest resources, and sustainable development.

1. Population growth has reduced forest resources available per person despite marginal increases in total forest cover.
2. The rising number of threatened species indicates increasing biodiversity pressure and highlights the risk of irreversible genetic loss.
3. Forest ecosystems provide significant economic benefits through ecosystem services such as medicinal plants, non-timber forest products, and eco-tourism.
4. Biodiversity conservation contributes directly to multiple Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those related to human health, economic development, climate action, and ecosystem protection.
5. Integrating biodiversity conservation into economic planning can help balance ecological sustainability with long-term development goals.

Significance of the Study: The present study contributes to the growing interdisciplinary literature linking biodiversity conservation, human health, and sustainable economic development. While previous research has primarily examined biodiversity from ecological or environmental perspectives, this study adopts a commerce-oriented framework that highlights the economic value of plant biodiversity and forest ecosystem services.

The study develops an integrated analytical approach connecting plant biodiversity, human health, forest-based economic activities, and sustainable development goals. By examining biodiversity through the lens of natural capital and ecosystem services, the research demonstrates that biodiversity conservation is not only an environmental necessity but also an economic and social investment.

Furthermore, the study provides a policy-oriented framework that aligns biodiversity conservation with global sustainability objectives, particularly the Sustainable Development Goals. The findings emphasize the importance of integrating ecological resources into economic planning and development strategies to support long-term human welfare and environmental sustainability.

Policy Implications and Recommendations: The findings of the study suggest several policy implications for biodiversity conservation and sustainable development.

First, biodiversity should be recognized as natural capital within economic planning frameworks. Incorporating ecosystem services into national accounting systems can help policymakers understand the economic value of biodiversity.

Second, sustainable forest management policies should be strengthened to ensure the conservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services. Community-based forest management and participatory conservation initiatives

can support both biodiversity protection and rural livelihoods.

Third, biodiversity-based economic sectors such as medicinal plant cultivation, herbal industries, and eco-tourism should be promoted as part of green economic development strategies.

Fourth, environmental policies should be aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals to ensure integrated approaches to biodiversity conservation, human health, and economic growth.

Finally, governments and financial institutions should promote green finance mechanisms that support biodiversity conservation and ecosystem restoration initiatives.

Conclusion: Plant biodiversity plays a crucial role in supporting ecological sustainability, human health, and economic development. Forest ecosystems rich in plant diversity provide essential ecosystem services that contribute to livelihoods, environmental stability, and public health.

The study highlights that increasing population pressure and biodiversity loss pose significant challenges for sustainable development. Declining forest resources per person and rising numbers of threatened species indicate growing pressure on ecological systems.

Recognizing biodiversity as natural capital and integrating ecosystem services into economic planning can help address these challenges. Strengthening biodiversity conservation policies and promoting sustainable forest management will therefore be essential for achieving long-term environmental sustainability and human welfare. Plant biodiversity can therefore be conceptualized as natural capital that generates ecological services, economic value, and human welfare outcomes within a sustainable development framework.

Limitations and Scope for Future Research: The present study is primarily conceptual and based on secondary data and existing literature on biodiversity, forest ecosystems, and sustainable development. Although the study highlights important linkages between plant biodiversity, human health, and forest-based economic systems, it does not include primary empirical data or field-based economic valuation of biodiversity resources. Therefore, the findings should be interpreted within the limitations of conceptual and policy-oriented analysis.

Future research can extend this study by conducting empirical investigations on biodiversity-based economic activities such as medicinal plant markets, non-timber forest products, and eco-tourism sectors. Quantitative studies using ecosystem valuation methods and natural capital accounting frameworks may provide deeper insights into the economic contribution of biodiversity to national development. Further interdisciplinary research integrating ecology, economics, and public health perspectives would also strengthen policy-oriented biodiversity research.

References :-

1. Balmford, A., Bruner, A., Cooper, P., Costanza, R.,

- Farber, S., Green, R., ... Turner, R. K. (2002). Economic reasons for conserving wild nature. *Science*, 297(5583), 950–953.
2. Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., ... van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630), 253–260.
3. Daily, G. C. (Ed.). (1997). *Nature's services: Societal dependence on natural ecosystems*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
4. Dasgupta, P. (2021). *The economics of biodiversity: The Dasgupta review*. London: HM Treasury.
5. FAO. (2020). *The State of the World's Forests 2020*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
6. Garg, A. K. (2025). Eco-economic valuation of forest-based plant biodiversity and its linkages with human health: A commerce-based SDG analysis. *Naveen Shodh Sansar*, 1(51), 18–20.
7. IPBES. (2019). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services*. Bonn: Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
8. Myers, S. S., Gaffikin, L., Golden, C. D., Ostfeld, R. S., Redford, K. H., Ricketts, T. H., ... Osofsky, S. A. (2013). Human health impacts of ecosystem alteration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(47), 18753–18760.
9. Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., Abell, R., Brooks, T. M., Gittleman, J. L., Joppa, L. N., ... Sexton, J. O. (2014). The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science*, 344(6187).
10. Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. New York: Columbia University Press.
11. TEEB. (2010). *The economics of ecosystems and biodiversity: Ecological and economic foundations*. London: Earthscan.
12. United Nations. (2014). *System of environmental-economic accounting (SEEA): Central framework*. New York: United Nations.
13. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. New York: United Nations.
14. Whitmee, S., Haines, A., Beyrer, C., Boltz, F., Capon, A. G., de Souza Dias, B. F., ... Yach, D. (2015). Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: Report of the Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet Commission on planetary health. *The Lancet*, 386(10007), 1973–2028.
15. World Bank. (2018). *The changing wealth of nations 2018: Building a sustainable future*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
16. World Health Organization. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Health synthesis*. Geneva: World Health Organization.