

# Romantic Idealism vs. Realism in Leadership: A Management Study of George Bernard Shaw's *Arms and the Man*

Dr. Minhail Haider\* Dr. Sehba Jafri\*\*

\*P.h.D. (Commerce) Saifia Science College, Bhopal (M.P.) INDIA

\*\* P.h.D. (English) Saifia Science College, Bhopal (M.P.) INDIA

**Abstract:** This research paper explores the dichotomy between romantic idealism and realism in leadership, using George Bernard Shaw's play *Arms and the Man* as a case study. The analysis focuses on how Shaw critiques idealistic leadership through the contrast between characters like Sergius Saranoff and Captain Bluntschli, and how this critique informs modern management theory. The paper argues that Shaw promotes a model of leadership grounded in pragmatism, competence, and emotional intelligence over theatrical heroism. It also explores the contrasting leadership philosophies of romantic idealism and pragmatic realism as depicted in George Bernard Shaw's play *Arms and the Man*. Through a management studies lens, it evaluates the implications of these approaches on leadership effectiveness. The paper draws parallels between the play's characters and modern leadership theories, concluding that balanced realism leads to more sustainable and efficient leadership.

**Introduction** - Leadership has long been shaped by narratives of heroism and idealism, often rooted in romantic notions of valor, sacrifice, and inspiration. However, the realities of effective leadership in organizational and military contexts demand a balance of vision and practicality. George Bernard Shaw's *Arms and the Man* serves as a compelling literary text to examine this dichotomy. Written in 1894, the play satirizes the romantic notions of war and leadership prevalent in the 19th century and introduces a modern, realistic perspective through its characters. Leadership has, in this way, been long been a subject of analysis in both literature and management studies. In *Arms and the Man*, Shaw presents a satirical examination of war, heroism, and leadership through two opposing characters: the romantic idealist Sergius and the pragmatic realist Bluntschli. This paper aims to analyze how these characters represent different leadership styles and what modern management can learn from them.

**Objectives:** There are several objectives behind this paper:

- To identify and analyze the traits of romantic idealism and realism in leadership through the characters of Sergius and Bluntschli.
- To understand Shaw's critique of romantic heroism.
- To draw parallels between the leadership styles depicted in the play and contemporary management theories.
- To assess the relevance of Shaw's message for modern organizational leadership.

**Romantic Idealism in Leadership:** Sergius Saranoff  
Sergius Saranoff represents the romantic idealist leader. He is valorized for a dramatic cavalry charge, which, while militarily flawed, is glorified by society. His leadership style is rooted in performance, image, and a desire for admiration. He lacks practical skills, is inconsistent, and seeks validation through grandeur rather than competence. In management terms, Sergius mirrors a leader driven by charisma but lacking in strategic thinking and operational efficacy.

**Realism in Leadership:** Captain Bluntschli  
In contrast, Captain Bluntschli embodies realistic leadership. A Swiss professional soldier, he values survival, logistics, and rational decision-making over theatrics. Bluntschli's leadership reflects modern managerial principles such as evidence-based decision making, emotional intelligence, adaptability, and ethical pragmatism. He is respected not for flamboyance but for reliability and competence.

**Shaw's Critique and Management Implications**  
Shaw critiques romantic idealism not merely for its impracticality but for its potential danger. Idealistic leaders like Sergius can lead organizations into poor decisions driven by ego and illusion. Bluntschli, though initially underestimated, emerges as the true leader due to his realistic assessment of situations and humane approach. Shaw's work aligns with contemporary leadership theories like Transformational vs. Transactional Leadership, Servant Leadership, and Adaptive Leadership.

**Contemporary Parallels:** Transformational Leadership:

Often romanticized, it risks becoming Sergius-like if not grounded in reality.

**1. Romantic Idealism in Leadership:** Sergius Saranoff  
Sergius Saranoff represents the romantic idealist leader. He is valorized for a dramatic cavalry charge, which, while militarily flawed, is glorified by society. His leadership style is rooted in performance, image, and a desire for admiration. He lacks practical skills, is inconsistent, and seeks validation through grandeur rather than competence. In management terms, Sergius mirrors a leader driven by charisma but lacking in strategic thinking and operational efficacy.

**2. Realism in Leadership:** Captain Bluntschli  
In contrast, Captain Bluntschli embodies realistic leadership. A Swiss professional soldier, he values survival, logistics, and rational decision-making over theatrics. Bluntschli's leadership reflects modern managerial principles such as evidence-based decision making, emotional intelligence, adaptability, and ethical pragmatism. He is respected not for flamboyance but for reliability and competence.

**Shaw's Critique and Management Implications**  
Shaw critiques romantic idealism not merely for its impracticality but for its potential danger. Idealistic leaders like Sergius can lead organizations into poor decisions driven by ego and illusion. Bluntschli, though initially underestimated, emerges as the true leader due to his realistic assessment of situations and humane approach. Shaw's work aligns with contemporary leadership theories like Transformational vs. Transactional Leadership, Servant Leadership, and Adaptive Leadership.

**Conclusion:** In *Arms and the Man*, George Bernard Shaw critiques the romantic idealism often associated with leadership, especially in the context of war and social hierarchy, by juxtaposing it with a pragmatic realism represented through the character of Captain Bluntschli. Through a management lens, the play serves as a powerful commentary on effective leadership. Idealistic leaders like Sergius are portrayed as dramatic and flamboyant, driven

more by image and passion than logic or results. In contrast, Bluntschli exemplifies a realist leader—competent, practical, and grounded in reality.

This study reveals that romantic idealism, while inspiring, can lead to inefficient decisions and a distorted sense of heroism, whereas realism in leadership encourages rational planning, strategic thinking, and adaptive responses to challenges. Shaw thus promotes a model of leadership that balances ethical conviction with practical execution—qualities essential for effective management in any modern organization. The play, while satirical, presents valuable insights into leadership paradigms that remain relevant to management studies today

#### References:-

1. Shaw, G. B. *Arms and the Man*. London: Penguin Classics, various editions.
2. Freeman, R. Edward. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman, 1984.
3. Bass, B. M., & Bass, R. *The Bass Handbook of Leadership: Theory, Research, and Managerial Applications*. Free Press, 2008.
4. Goleman, Daniel. *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. Bantam Books, 1995.
5. Yukl, Gary. *Leadership in Organizations*. Pearson Education, 8th Edition, 2013.
6. Northouse, Peter G. *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. Sage Publications, 9th Edition, 2021.
7. Holroyd, Michael. *Bernard Shaw: The One-Volume Definitive Edition*. Vintage, 1998.
8. Joad, C.E.M. *Shaw and Society*. London: Victor Gollancz, 1939.
9. Daft, Richard L. *The Leadership Experience*. Cengage Learning, 7th Edition, 2017.
10. Jones, Gareth R., & George, Jennifer M. *Contemporary Management*. McGraw-Hill Education, 9th Edition, 2016.

\*\*\*\*\*